RESOLUTION NO. 2024-01

VILLAGE OF YORKVILLE SEWER AND WATER COMMISSION RACINE COUNTY, STATE OF WISCONSIN

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE FINDINGS OF THE 2023 YORKVILLE SEWER UTILITY DISTRICT'S COMPLIANCE MAINTENANCE ANNUAL REPORT

THE SEWER AND WATER COMMISSION OF THE VILLAGE OF YORKVILLE, RACINE COUNTY, STATE OF WISCONSIN, RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources requires that all Wastewater Treatment and/or Collection Systems file a Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (hereinafter "CMAR") to comply with the Wisconsin Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit issued to them under the authority of Wisconsin Administrative Code NR 208; and

WHEREAS, the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources requires that municipal governing bodies review and adopt the findings outlined within the CMAR; and

WHEREAS, the Village of Yorkville Sewer and Water Commission has reviewed the 2023 CMAR presented by the Village of Yorkville Sewer Utility District; and

WHEREAS, the Village of Yorkville Sewer and Water Commission reports that the 2023 CMAR presented by the Village of Yorkville Sewer Utility District has an overall grade point average of 3.78.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Village of Yorkville Sewer and Water Commission adopts the findings outlined within the 2023 CMAR.

This Resolution was adopted by the Sewer and Water Commission of the Village of Yorkville, Racine County, State of Wisconsin, this 24th day of June, 2024.

VILLAGE OF YORKVILLE SEWER AND WATER COMMISSION

Ayes: 3 By: /s/ Douglas Nelson

Douglas Nelson, President

Nays: 0

Attest: /s/ Janine Carls

Abstentions: 0 Janine Carls, Clerk

Absences: 2

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/18/2024

2023

Influent Flow and Loading

1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	x	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	×	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.0960	х	244	х	8.34	=	195
February	0.1202	х	113	х	8.34	=	113
March	0.1424	х	149	х	8.34	=	177
April	0.1159	х	188	х	8.34	=	182
May	0.0888	Х	206	Х	8.34	=	152
June	0.0891	Х	211	Х	8.34	=	157
July	0.0962	Х	160	х	8.34	=	128
August	0.0961	х	179	х	8.34	=	143
September	0.0855	х	148	х	8.34	=	106
October	0.0903	Х	145	х	8.34	=	109
November	0.0843	Х	176	х	8.34	=	124
December	0.1008	Х	109	х	8.34	=	91

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	.295	х	90	=	0.2655
		х	100	=	.295
Design BOD, Ibs/day	551	х	90	=	495.9
		х	100	=	551

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

Total Numb	er of Po	ints	o		0	
Points		0	0	0	0	
Exceedances	3	0	0	0	0	
Points per e		2	1	3	2	
December	1	0	0	0	0	
November	1	0	0	0	0	
October	1	0	0	0	0	
September	1	0	0	0	0	
August	1	0	0	0	0	
July	1	0	0	0	0	
June	1	0	0	0	0	
May	1	0	0	0	0	
April	1	0	0	0	0	
March	1	0	0	0	0	
February	1	0	0	0	0	
January	1	0	0	0	0	
	Influent		than 100% of	than 90% of design		
	of		flow was greater		BOD was greater	
	Months	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times	

0

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

6/18/2024 2023 3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) 2023-07-31 O No If No, please explain: 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes O No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? o Yes No If Yes, please explain: 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks Holding Tanks Grease Traps o Yes o Yes o Yes No No No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks o Yes gallons No Holding Tanks o Yes gallons No Grease Traps gallons o Yes No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? Yes No If yes, describe the situation and your community's response. 6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

_ast Updated: 6/18/2024

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2023

o Yes

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/18/2024

2023

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or

O AG-II NI-	NA Ll- l	000/ -6	CELL M	Manthauf	Danis II I isaa II	OOR/ Dawesik
Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit
001	Average] 3 (3, /] 3		Exceedance	Limit	
	Limit (mg/L)	> 10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance
January	20	18	4	1	0	0
February	20	18	4	1	0	0
March	20	18	5	1	0	0
April	20	18	5	1	0	0
May	20	18	4	1	0	0
June	20	18	3	1	0	0
July	20	18	4	1	0	0
August	20	18	4	1	0	0
September	20	18	2	1	0	0
October	20	18	4	1	0	0
November	20	18	9	1	0	0
December	20	18	4	1	0	0
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of d	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per e	ach exceedance	7	3			
Exceedance	S	0	0			
Points					0	0
Total numl	er of points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

2	Flow	Motor	Calibration
/.	LIOW	Merer	Campranor

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2023-07-31

o No

If No, please explain:

3. Treatment Problems

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

none

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

- 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?
- Yes
- O No

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/18/2024

2023

E 11	1		
TVAC	DIASCA	OVNIBIN	۰
1 1 5 3 .	DICASE	explain	

Chlorides due to Racine County highway dept located right by the plant with over 1000 tons of salt stored on-site and 40 plus trucks spreading salt.

- 4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?
- o Yes
- No

If Yes, please explain:

- 4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?
- o Yes
- o No
- N/A

Please explain unless not applicable:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/18/2024

2023

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	20	18	6	1	0	0
February	20	18	5	1	0	0
March	20	18	5	1	0	0
April	20	18	6	1	0	0
May	20	18	6	1	0	0
June	20	18	2	1	0	0
July	20	18	4	1	0	0
August	20	18	4	1	0	0
September	20	18	3	1	0	0
October	20	18	6	1	0	0
November	20	18	10	1	0	0
December	20	18	4	1	0	0
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of D	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per	7	3				
Exceedance	S	0	0			
Points					0	0
Total Num	ber of Points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/18/2024 2023

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit
	NH3	NH3	Average	Limit	Average	Average	Average	Average	Limit
	Limit	Limit	NH3	Exceed				for Week	Exceed
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3	4	ance
January	12.4	29	.031	0	.073	0	.05	0	0
February	12.4	29	.361	0	.187	1.167	.079	.013	0
March	12.4	29	.043	0	.117	.04	.03	0	0
April	12.4	29	.02	0	0	0	.04	.039	0
May	2.2	5.1	.137	0	.167	.157	.152	.099	0
June	2.2	5.1	.361	0	.074	.913	.113	.44	0
July	2.2	5.1	.139	0	.08	.136	.016	.356	0
August	2.2	5.1	.111	0	.099	.086	.086	.159	0
September	2.2	5.1	.066	0	.04	.102	0	.123	0
October	2.2	5.1	.108	0	.037	.088	.117	.086	0
November	12.4	29	.56	0	.305	.451	.212	.217	0
December	12.4	29	.158	0	.105	.076	.169	.28	0
Points per e	ach excee	dance of N	Monthly av	erage:					10
Exceedances	s, Monthly	' :							0
Points:								0	
Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly average):								2.5	
Exceedance	s, Weekly	:							0
Points:									0
Total Numl	per of Po	ints							0

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points.

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

0

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/18/2024

2023

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus	Months of Discharge with a	Permit Limit Exceedance
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	Limit	Exceedance
January	.8	0.220	1	0
February	.8	0.160	1	0
March	.8	0.249	1	0
April	.8	0.279	1	0
May	.8	0.592	1	0
June	.8	0.362	1	0
July	.8	0.177	1	0
August	.8	0.853	1	1
September	.8	0.530	1	0
October	.8	0.645	1	0
November	.8	1.277	1	1
December	.8	0.228	1	0
Months of Discharg	e/yr		12	
Points per each e	exceedance with 1	2 months of dischar	ge:	10
Exceedances				2
Total Number of	Points			20

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

chemical dosage was adjusted.

Total Points Generated	20
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	80
Section Grade	С

20

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/18/2024 2023

Biosolids Quality and Management

Biosolids Use/Disposal How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)	
☐ Land applied under your permit	
☐ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids	
□ Hauled to another permitted facility	
☐ Landfilled	
☐ Incinerated	
☐ Other	
NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc.	
1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:	

3. Biosolids Metals

Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit:

3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.

Outfall No.	003	- Hai	ıled S	ludae	2													
											r					000/		0 111
Parameter	80% of	Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
	Limit					_	_											
Arsenic		41	75						<36								0	0
Cadmium		39	85						<1.4								0	0
Copper		1500	4300						37								0	0
Lead		300	840						<32								0	0
Mercury		17	57						<6.2								0	0
Molybdenum	60		75						<24							0		0
Nickel	336		420						<8.9							0		0
Selenium	80		100						<89							0		0
Zinc		2800	7500						210								0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1-2 (10 Points)
- 0 > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- o Yes
- O No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- (10 Points) 01
- \circ > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- O Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/18/2024 **2023**

0,10,2021	
3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?	0
6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site? ● >= 180 days (0 Points) ○ 150 - 179 days (10 Points) ○ 120 - 149 days (20 Points) ○ 90 - 119 days (30 Points) ○ < 90 days (40 Points) ○ N/A (0 Points) 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.	0
7. Issues 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/18/2024

2023

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

If No, please explain: 2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items? • Yes (Continue with question 2) □□ • No (40 points)□□ If No, please explain, then go to question 3: 2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? • Yes • No (10 points) 2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? • Yes • Paper file system • Computer system • Both paper and computer system • No (10 points) 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? • Yes • Yes • No 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. • Excellent • Very good • Good • Fair • Poor	1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year? • Yes • No If No, please explain: Could use more help/staff for: 1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping? • Yes • No If No, please explain:	
2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items? • Yes (Continue with question 2) □□ • No (40 points)□□ If No, please explain, then go to question 3: 2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? • Yes • No (10 points) 2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? • Yes • Paper file system • Computer system • No (10 points) 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? • Yes • Yes • No 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. • Excellent • Very good • Good • Fair		
2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? • Yes • No (10 points) 2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? • Yes • Paper file system • Computer system • No (10 points) 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? • Yes • No 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. • Excellent • Very good • Good • Fair	2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?Yes (Continue with question 2) □□	
and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? Yes No (10 points) 2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? Yes Paper file system Computer system No (10 points) 3. 0&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed 0&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? Yes No 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. Excellent Very good Good Fair	If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? • Yes • Paper file system • Computer system • Both paper and computer system • No (10 points) 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? • Yes • No 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. • Excellent • Very good • Good • Fair	and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? • Yes	0
O Computer system O Both paper and computer system No (10 points) 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? Yes No 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. Excellent Very good Good Fair	filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?	
3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? • Yes • No 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. • Excellent • Very good • Good • Fair	Computer systemBoth paper and computer system	
 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. Excellent Very good Good Fair 	 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? Yes 	
	 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. Excellent Very good 	
Describe your ratings	o Poor	
Describe your rating: We follow recommendations for preventive maintenance		

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	6/18/2024	2023

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/18/2024

2023

0

Operator Certification and Education

- 1. Operator-In-Charge
- 1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year?
- Yes (0 points)
- No (20 points)

Name:

NICKOLAS W CARRIKER

Certification No:

39143

2. Certification Requirements

2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?

Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP		OIC	
Class		Basic	OIT	Basic	Advanced
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	Х		Х	
A2	Attached Growth Processes				
A3	Recirculating Media Filters				
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural				
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid				
В	Solids Separation	Х	X		
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	Х	X		
Р	Total Phosphorus	Х		X	
N	Total Nitrogen				
D	Disinfection				
L	Laboratory	Х	Х		
U	Unique Treatment Systems				
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	Х	NA	Х	NA

2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS is required 5 years after permit reissuance.)

- O Yes (0 points)
- No (20 points)
- 2.3 For wastewater treatment facilities with a registered or certified laboratory, is at least one operator that works in the laboratory certified at the basic level in the laboratory (L) subclass?
- Yes
- o No
- N/A Wastewater treatment facility does not have a registered or certified laboratory
- 2.4 For wastewater treatment facilities that own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system. has at least one operator been designated the OIC for sanitary sewage collection system and certified at the basic level in the sanitary sewage collection system (SS) subclass?
- Yes
- O No
- o N/A Owner of the Wastewater treatment facility does not own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system
- 3. Succession Planning
- 3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?
- ☐ One or more additional certified operators on staff

20

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1	Last Updated:	Reporting	For
	6/18/2024	2023	
☐ An arrangement with another certified operator ☐ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator ☐ An operator on staff who has an operator in training certificate for your	mlant and is over		
□ An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your be certified within one year □ A consultant to serve as your certified operator □ None of the above (20 paints)	piant and is exp	ected to	0
☐ None of the above (20 points) If "None of the above" is selected, please explain:			
 4. Continuing Education Credits 4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge Education Credits at the following rates? OIT and Basic Certification: Averaging 6 or more CECs per year. Averaging less than 6 CECs per year. Advanced Certification: Averaging 8 or more CECs per year. 	e earning Contin	uing	
O Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.			

Total Points Generated	20
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	80
Section Grade	С

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1 Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/18/2024 2023

Fin	an	cial	Management

 Provider of Financial Inf Name: 	ormation ·			
Hame:	Michael McKinney			
Telephone:	2628782123		(XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address				
(optional):				
 2. Treatment Works Opera 2.1 Are User Charges or of treatment plant AND/OR of Yes (0 points) □□ No (40 points) 	other revenues sufficient to cover	O&M exp	enses for your wastewater	
If No, please explain:				
2.2 When was the User C Year: 2023 0-2 years ago (0 points 0 3 or more years ago (2 0 N/A (private facility)	•	source(s) la	ast reviewed and/or revised?	o
	al account (e.g., CWFP required sole for repairing or replacing equipotem?			
O No (40 points)	LIDLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SI	IALL COM	DI ETE OLIFCTION 21	
3. Equipment Replacement	<u>UBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SE</u> t Funds	HALL COME	PLETE QUESTION 3]	
	nent Replacement Fund last revie	wed and/o	or revised?	
3.2 Equipment Replacement	•			
_	eported on Last Year's CMAR		\$ 129,291.59	
	cessary (e.g. earned interest, al of excess funds, increase fall, etc.)	+	\$ 8,589.82	
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1s	st Beginning Balance		\$ 137,881.41	
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e earned interest, etc.)	e.g. portion of User Fee,	+	\$ 85,600.00	

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1	Last Updated: 6/18/2024	Reporting For: 2023
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*) - 3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc. 3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major report NA 3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ 1	\$ 0.00 \$ 223,481.41 Dairs from 3.2.5 abo	
Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally base Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as need instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstrated header in the left-side menu. 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund a greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)? Yes No No If No, please explain.	ded. Further calculat ructions link under I	info
 4. Future Planning 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for new construction of your treatment facility or collection system? Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not alread No 		oilitating,
Project Project Description # 1 Upgrade collection system lift stations		proximate nstruction Year 2024
5. Financial Management General Comments	, , , ,	
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE 6. Collection System 6.1 Energy Usage 6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy source COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations: 3	es:	

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1 Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/18/2024 2023 **Electricity Consumed Natural Gas Consumed** (kWh) (therms) 503 **January February** 462 March 1,059 1,479 **April** May 931 June 284 July 394 August 641 September 594 October 572 November 543 **December** 1,193 **Total** 8,655 0 721 0 **Average** 6.1.2 Comments: 6.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply): □ Comminution or Screening ☐ Extended Shaft Pumps ☐ Pneumatic Pumping ✓ Variable Speed Drives ☐ Other: 6.2.2 Comments: 6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No Yes Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/18/2024 **2023**

6.4	Future	Energy	Related	Equipment
-----	--------	--------	---------	-----------

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

New pumps and controls

- 7. Treatment Facility
- 7.1 Energy Usage
- 7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	27,520	2.98	9,235	6.05	4,549	3,031
February	23,200	3.37	6,884	3.16	7,342	2,300
March	21,840	4.41	4,952	5.49	3,978	2,793
April	23,120	3.48	6,644	5.46	4,234	2,117
May	22,960	2.75	8,349	4.71	4,875	1,551
June	20,640	2.67	7,730	4.71	4,382	3,376
July	21,200	2.98	7,114	3.97	5,340	45
August	24,160	2.98	8,107	4.43	5,454	11
September	22,080	2.57	8,591	3.18	6,943	10
October	19,920	2.80	7,114	3.38	5,893	101
November	24,080	2.53	9,518	3.72	6,473	1,396
December	21,920	3.12	7,026	2.82	7,773	2,130
Total	272,640	36.64		51.08		18,861
Average	22,720	3.05	7,605	4.26	5,603	1,572

7	. 1	2	Co	m	m	ei	nts	٠

7	2	Energy	Palatad	Droceses	and	Equipment
		EHELUV	REIGIEU	PINCHALL	41111	

- 7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):
- ☑ Aerobic Digestion
- ☐ Anaerobic Digestion
- ☑ Biological Phosphorus Removal
- ☑ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- □ Effluent Pumping
- ☑ Fine Bubble Diffusers
- ☑ Influent Pumping
- ☐ Mechanical Sludge Processing
- ☑ Nitrification
- ☐ UV Disinfection
- ☑ Variable Speed Drives
- ☐ Other:

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

6/18/2024	2023
7.2.2 Comments:	
7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment	
7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility?	
NA	
· ·	
8. Biogas Generation	
8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? • No	
O Yes	
If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply):	
☐ Flared Off	
☐ Building Heat	
☐ Process Heat	
☐ Generate Electricity ☐ Other:	
El otter.	
9. Energy Efficiency Study	
9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?	
• Yes	
☑ Entire facility	
Year:	
2021	
By Whom:	
SEH	
Describe and Comment:	
Design of the new treatment plant.	
☐ Part of the facility	
Year:	
By Whom:	
Describe and Comment:	

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1	Last Updated: 6/18/2024	Reporting For 2023	
Total Points Generated		0	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)		100	
Section Grade		Α	

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2023

6/18/2024

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

 Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?
• Yes
O No
If No, explain:
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items
according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?
• Yes
o No (30 points)
o N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the
components and items that apply)
☐ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
Reduce I&I and sample all commercial and industrial users annually
Did you accomplish them?
• Yes • No
If No, explain:
□ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)] □
Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
☑ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
☑ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
☑ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system? sewer use ordiance
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2020-08-01
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following:
☑ Private property inflow and infiltration
 ☑ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection ☑ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as
necessary
☐ Fat, oil and grease control
☐ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance
☑ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:
☐ Equipment and replacement part inventories
☑ Up-to-date sewer system map☑A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system
information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation
The state of the s

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

6/18/2024 A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see question 2 below) □ Capacity assessment program □ Basement back assessment and correction ☑ Regular O&M training \square Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)] $\square\square$ What standards and procedures are established for the design, construction, and inspection of the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor sewers on private property? State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal Code Requirements □ Construction, Inspection, and Testing ☐ Others: ☑ Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)]□□ Does your emergency response capability include: 0 ☑ Responsible personnel communication procedures ☑ Response order, timing and clean-up ☑ Public notification protocols ☑ Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)]
☐ ☐ ☑ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply): ☑ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis □ Lift Station Evaluation Report ☐ Others: 2. Operation and Maintenance 2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained. Cleaning 33 % of system/year 0 % of system/year Root removal 0 % of system/year Flow monitoring Smoke testing % of system/year Sewer line 33 % of system/year televising Manhole inspections 10 % of system/year Lift station O&M 120 # per L.S./year Manhole rehabilitation 10 % of manholes rehabbed Mainline 0 rehabilitation % of sewer lines rehabbed Private sewer % of system/year inspections Private sewer I/I % of private services removal

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2023

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1 Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/18/2024 2023

River or water or solution of pipe crossings evaluated or maintain	nined				
Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below:					
- Journal and the state of the					
3. Performance Indicators 3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year. 34.82 Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches					
34.21 Annual average precipitation (for your location)					
7 Miles of sanitary sewer					
Number of lift stations					
Number of lift station failures					
Number of sewer pipe failures					
Number of basement backup occurrences					
Number of complaints					
0.121 Average daily flow in MGD (if available)					
Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)					
Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)					
3.2 Performance ratios for the past year: 0.00 Lift station failures (failures/year)					
0.00 Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)					
0.00 Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)					
0.00 Basement backups (number/sewer mile)					
0.00 Complaints (number/sewer mile)					
0.0 Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily Avg)					
0.0 Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily Avg)					
4. Overflavia					
4. Overflows					
LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORT					
	stimated Volume				
None reported					
** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and ston this section until corrected.	stop work				
5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)					
5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year?					
o Yes ● No					
If Yes, please describe:					
5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problem	me in				
your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?					
o Yes					
NoIf Yes, please describe:					
z oo, prodoc describer					

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/18/2024 **2023**

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous y	rears:
NA	
5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection sys	stem?
We inspect and televise 33% of the collection system each year and we right away.	e repair any issues found

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/18/2024 2023

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0029831

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	Α	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40
TSS	Α	4	5	20
Ammonia	Α	4	5	20
Phosphorus	С	2	3	6
Biosolids	Α	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	Α	4	1	4
OpCert	С	2	1	2
Financial	Α	4	1	4
Collection	А	4	3	12
TOTALS			37	140
GRADE POINT AVE	RAGE (GPA) = 3.78			

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Yorkville Sewer Utility Distri	ct No 1	Last Updated: 6/18/2024	Reporting For 2023
Resolution or Owner's S	Statement	·	
Name of Governing Body or Owner:			
	Village of Yorkville Sewer and Wa	ater Commission	
Date of Resolution or Action Taken:			
Resolution Number:			
Date of Submittal:			
SECTIONS (Optional for gra Influent Flow and Loadings: G			C CMAR
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade	= A		
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade	= A		
Effluent Quality: Ammonia: G	rade = A		
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus:	Grade = C		
We received some influent that able to get back into complia	at was high in phosphorus. We ac nce.	djusted the chemical dosing	and was
Biosolids Quality and Manager	ment: Grade = A		
Staffing: Grade = A			
Operator Certification: Grade	= C		
This form is incorrect, and I a 2023. I took over for Gary 0:	am unable to change it. Gary Hans 1/01/2024.	son was the operator in cha	rge in
Financial Management: Grade	= A		
Collection Systems: Grade = (Regardless of grade, respons	A e required for Collection Systems	if SSOs were reported)	
GRADE POINT AVERAGE AN	E GOVERNING BODY OR OWNED ANY GENERAL COMMENTS on or equal to 3.00, required for G		RALL