#### **RESOLUTION NO. 2021-01**

### VILLAGE OF YORKVILLE SEWER AND WATER COMMISSION RACINE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

# A RESOLUTION TO ADOPT THE FINDINGS OF THE 2020 YORKVILLE SEWER UTILITY DISTRICT'S COMPLIANCE MAINTENANCE ANNUAL REPORT

THE SEWER AND WATER COMMISSION OF THE VILLAGE OF YORKVILLE, RACINE COUNTY, WISCONSIN, RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

**WHEREAS**, the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources requires that all Wastewater Treatment and/or Collection Systems file a Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (hereinafter "CMAR") to comply with the Wisconsin Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit issued to them under the authority of Wisconsin Administrative Code NR 208, and

WHEREAS, the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources requires that municipal governing bodies review and adopt the findings outlined within the CMAR, and

**WHEREAS**, the Village of Yorkville Sewer and Water Commission has reviewed the 2020 CMAR presented by the Village of Yorkville Sewer Utility District, and

**WHEREAS,** the Village of Yorkville Sewer and Water Commission reports that the 2020 CMAR presented by the Village of Yorkville Sewer Utility District has an overall grade point average of 3.78.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Village of Yorkville Sewer and Water Commission adopts the findings outlined within the 2020 CMAR, and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Administrator/Clerk is hereby directed to post this resolution in three places within thirty days of its adoption, and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that this resolution takes effect the day following its posting.

This Resolution was adopted by the Village of Yorkville Sewer and Water Commission on May 25, 2021.

VILLAGE OF YORKVILLE SEWER AND WATER COMMISSION

Ayes: 5 By: /s/ Douglas Nelson

Douglas Nelson, President

Nays: <u>0</u>

Attest: /s/ Michael McKinney

Abstentions: <u>0</u> Michael McKinney, Administrator/Clerk

Absences: 0

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/15/2021

#### 2020

### **Influent Flow and Loading**

1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	х	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	x	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.1153	х	133	Х	8.34	=	128
February	0.0775	Х	133	х	8.34	=	86
March	0.0855	Х	99	х	8.34	=	70
April	0.0647	х	108	Х	8.34	=	58
May	0.0811	х	79	х	8.34	=	53
June	0.0922	Х	136	х	8.34	=	105
July	0.0669	х	130	х	8.34	=	72
August	0.0663	Х	120	х	8.34	=	66
September	0.0709	Х	140	х	8.34	=	83
October	0.0573	х	149	Х	8.34	=	71
November	0.0785	х	160	Х	8.34	=	105
December	0.0654	х	154	х	8.34	=	84

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	X	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	.15	X	90	=	0.135
		X	100	=	.15
Design BOD, lbs/day	255	X	90	=	229.5
		X	100	=	255

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

		Number of times			Number of times
		flow was greater			BOD was greater
	Influent	than 90% of	than 100% of	than 90% of design	than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per ea	ach	2	1	3	2
Exceedances		0	0	0	0
Points		0	0	0	0
Total Numb	0				

**Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1** 

5/15/2021 2020 3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) No If No, please explain: we do not have an influent flow meter and do not record influent flow 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes O No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? o Yes No If Yes, please explain: 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Holding Tanks Septic Tanks **Grease Traps** o Yes o Yes o Yes · No · No • No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your faclity? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks o Yes gallons • No **Holding Tanks** o Yes gallons · No **Grease Traps** o Yes gallons No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? Yes O No If yes, describe the situation and your community's response. We exceeded our chloride limit. We know the source of the chlorides and are working with the county to try and resolve the problem

Last Updated: Reporting For:

#### **Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/15/2021 2020

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.? o Yes

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

**Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1** 

Last Updated: Reporting For: 2020

5/15/2021

## Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	20	18	10	1	0	0
February	20	18	12	1	0	0
March	20	18	12	1	0	0
April	20	18	8	1	0	0
May	20	18	7	1	0	0
June	20	18	7	1	0	0
July	20	18	2	1	0	0
August	20	18	4	1	0	0
September	20	18	4	1	0	0
October	20	18	4	1	0	0
November	20	18	6	1	0	0
December	20	18	14	1	0	0
		* Equ	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of di	scharge/yr			12		
Points per ea	ach exceedance		7	3		
xceedances	5		0	0		
Points					0	0
otal numb	er of points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred,	laab aabiaaaa bal.aa	. to macrin	acmonling ac
1 / IT any Violations occurred	what action was taker	i io regain	COMPUTATION

2.	Fl	OW	Meter	Cali	bration
Gen I		CAA	11000	Cull	DIGCIOII

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2020-06-24

O No

If No, please explain:

3. Treatment Problems

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

clarifier failure. Repairs were made a soon as possible

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

Yes

o No

#### **Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/15/2021 **2020** 

If Yes, please explain:

Chlorides were exceeded we are working with Racine County trying to resolve the problem

- 4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?
- Yes
- O No

If Yes, please explain:

We are currently retesting monthly

- 4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?
- Yes
- O No
- O N/A

Please explain unless not applicable:

We believe it was due to a manhole filled with paving material

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	<u> </u>
Section Grade	

**Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/15/2021

2020

### **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)**

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	20	18	5	1	0	0
February	20	18	6	1	0	0
March	20	18	9	1	0	0
April	20	18	9	1	0	0
May	20	18	8	1	0	0
June	20	18	9	1	0	0
July	20	18	6	1	0	0
August	20	18	9	1	0	0
September	20	18	10	1	0	0
October	20	18	8	1	0	0
November	20	18	9	1	0	0
December	20	18	11	1	0	0
		* Equ	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of D	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per	each exceeda	arge:	7	3		
exceedances	S		0	0		
Points					0	0
Total Numb	er of Points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

**Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/15/2021

2020

### Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit
	NH3	NH3	Average	Limit	Average	Average	Average	Average	Limit
	Limit	Limit	NH3	Exceed	for Week			for Week	Exceed
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3	4	ance
January	12.4		2.25	0					
February	12.4		2.013125	0					
March	12.4		1.41	0					
April	12.4		.2	0					
May	2.2		.71	0					
June	2.2		.322	0					
July	2.2		.2075	0					
August	2.2		.7444444	14 0					
September	2.2		.0944444	14 0					
October	2.2		.27375	0					
November	12.4		.4877777	78 0					
December	12.4		1.612222	222 0					
oints per ea	ach exceed	dance of N	onthly av	erage:					10
xceedances	, Monthly	:							0
Points:									0
oints per ea	ch exceed	dance of w	veekly ave	rage (whe	en there is	no month	ly average	e):	2.5
xceedances	, Weekly:								0
oints:									0
otal Numb	er of Poi	nts							0

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. 1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

**Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/15/2021

2020

### **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)**

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance
January	1	0.165	1	0
February	1	0.495	1	0
March	1	0.741	1	0
April	1	1.076	1	1
May	1	0.786	1	0
June	1	0.959	1	0
July	1	0.394	1	0
August	1	0.255	1	0
September	1	0.357	1	0
October	1	0.278	1	0
November	1	0.376	1	0
December	1	1.023	1	1
onths of Discharg	je/yr		12	
Points per each e	exceedance with 1	2 months of dischar	ge:	10
xceedances				2
Total Number of	Points			20

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Pump feed rate was adjusted and process control changes were made

Total Points Generated	20
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	80
Section Grade	С

20

**Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

1. Biosolids 1.1 How d Land a Publich Hauled Landfil Inciner Other NOTE: If as lagoon 1.1.1 If y	s Use, lid you opplie y Dis I to a led rated you on s, re	/Disp u use d une tribu noth	oosal e or di der yo ted Ex	ispos our pe	e of ermit	your Qua	bioso	olids?	· (Che	eck a	all tha	at apı	olv)		1			
1.1 How d  Land a  Publich  Hauled  Landfil  Inciner  Other  NOTE: If  as lagoon	lid you on the policy Disable of the alled rated you on the policy of th	u used une d une tribu	e or di der yo ted Ex	ur pe	ermit ional	Qua			' (Che	eck a	all tha	at apı	olv)			eritech de provinción de manera		
			eds, re	ecircu	ılatin	g sai	nd fil	ters,	r syst	em,	pleas			e you	ır sys	stem t	type su	ıch
2. Land App 2.1 Last Ye 2.1.1 How acres 2.1.2 How  2.2 If you  2.3 Did you o Yes (30 No 2.4 Have a years? o Yes o No (10	ear's w ma	Appr ny ac ny ac not ha erapp ts)	roved a cres di cres di acres ave en	id you les lough	u hav	es for	you of you	r land	d app	olicat ed la	ion n	plica	tion	sites	you	used I	ast ye	ar?
• N/A																		
3. Biosolids Number of 3.1 For eac calendar ye	biose ch ou ear.	olids tfall	tested	, ver	ify th					ualit	y val	ues f	or yo	ur fa	cility	durin	g the	last
Outfall No.	animateria antima per	Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Own			-													
		H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75				.12										0	0
Cadmium		39	85				<.006	1									0	0
Copper		1500	4300				.73										0	0
Lead		300	840				<.14										0	0
Mercury		17	57				<.002	9									0	0
Molybdenum	60		75				.052									0		0
	336		420		7 -		.041									0		0
Selenium	80		100				<.3									0		0
I Selellium I		2800	7500				1.3									-	0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0 **Exceedence Points** 

• 0 (0 Points)

	o 1	Last Updated: 5/15/2021	Reporting F
o 1-2 (10 Points)			
o > 2 (15 Points)			
3.1.2 If you exceeded the high queach land application site? (check o Yes	uality limits, did you cumulatively track to applicable box)	he metals loadin	g at
o No (10 points)			
N/A - Did not exceed limits or	no HO limit applies (0 points)		
	lids until limit was met (0 points)		
<ul><li>3.1.3 Number of times any of the Exceedence Points</li><li>0 (0 Points)</li></ul>	metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0		o
0 1 (10 Points)			
<ul> <li>0 &gt; 1 (15 Points)</li> <li>3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied</li> <li>0 Yes (20 Points)</li> <li>No (0 Points)</li> <li>3.1.5 If any metal limit (high qual Has the source of the metals beer</li> </ul>	lity or ceiling) was exceeded at any time	, what action wa	s taken?
has the source of the metals beer	n identified?		
4.1 Verify the following information under the Options header in the lef Outfall Number:	n. If any information is incorrect, use the ft-side menu.	e Report Issue b	utton
under the Options header in the lef	n. If any information is incorrect, use the ft-side menu.	e Report Issue b	utton
under the Options header in the lef Outfall Number:	n. If any information is incorrect, use the ft-side menu.	e Report Issue b	utton
under the Options header in the lef Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit:	n. If any information is incorrect, use the ft-side menu.	e Report Issue b	utton
under the Options header in the lef Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates:	n. If any information is incorrect, use the ft-side menu.	e Report Issue b	utton
under the Options header in the lef Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates: Density:	n. If any information is incorrect, use the ft-side menu.	e Report Issue b	utton
under the Options header in the lef Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates: Density: Sample Concentration Amount:	n. If any information is incorrect, use the ft-side menu.   No	e Report Issue b	utton
under the Options header in the lef Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates: Density: Sample Concentration Amount: Requirement Met:	ft-side menu.	e Report Issue b	utton
under the Options header in the lef Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates: Density: Sample Concentration Amount: Requirement Met: Land Applied:	rt-side menu.	e Report Issue b	
under the Options header in the lef Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates: Density: Sample Concentration Amount: Requirement Met: Land Applied: Process:	rt-side menu.	e Report Issue b	
under the Options header in the lef Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates: Density: Sample Concentration Amount: Requirement Met: Land Applied: Process: Process Description:  4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did 4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or th o Yes (40 Points)  • No	rt-side menu.	e of land applicat	o tion.
under the Options header in the lef Outfall Number: Biosolids Class: Bacteria Type and Limit: Sample Dates: Density: Sample Concentration Amount: Requirement Met: Land Applied: Process: Process Description:  4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did 4.2.1 Was the limit exceeded or th o Yes (40 Points)	No No No not meet the process criteria at the time	e of land applicat	o tion.

5.1 Verify the following information. If any of the information is incorrect, use the Report Issue button under the Options header in the left-side menu.

### **Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1**

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1	Last Updated: 5/15/2021	Reporting For: 2020
Outfall Number:		
Method Date:		
Option Used To Satisfy Requirement:		
Requirement Met:	No	
Land Applied:	No	
Limit (if applicable):		
Results (if applicable):		
<ul><li>O Yes (40 Points)</li><li>● No</li><li>If yes, what action was taken?</li></ul>	ess criteria not met at the time of land application?	
6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current by facility have either on-site or off-site?  ● >= 180 days (0 Points)  ○ 150 - 179 days (10 Points)  ○ 120 - 149 days (20 Points)  ○ 90 - 119 days (30 Points)  ○ < 90 days (40 Points)  ○ N/A (0 Points)  6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain we have a simple of the content of	iosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatm	nent o
7. I Describe any outstanding biosolide in		
711 Describe any outstanding biosolius is	ssues with treatment, use or overall management:	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/15/2021 **2020** 

# **Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)**

Yes     O No     If No, please explain:    Could use more help/staff for:   Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?     Yes     No     If No, please explain:   Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?     Yes (Continue with question 2) □□     No (40 points)□□  If No, please explain, then go to question 3:   Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?      Yes (Continue with question 2) □□     No (40 points)□□  If No, please explain, then go to question 3:   Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?     Yes     No (10 points)  2.2 Did this preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?      Yes     Paper file system     O Computer system     O Both paper and computer system     O No (10 points) 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?     Yes     Yes     No	1. Plant Starring	
o No If No, please explain:  Could use more help/staff for:  Line Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?  Yes No If No, please explain:  Yes (Continue with question 2) □□  If No, please explain, then go to question 3:  If No, please explain, then go to question 3:  2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?  Yes No (10 points)  3. Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?  Yes Paper file system Computer system No (10 points)  3. O&M Manual 3. Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?	1
If No, please explain:    Could use more help/staff for:		
Could use more help/staff for:  1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?  • Yes • No If No, please explain:  2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?  • Yes (Continue with question 2) □□  • No (40 points)□□  If No, please explain, then go to question 3:  □ Juit this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?  • Yes • No (10 points)  2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filled so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?  • Yes • Paper file system • Computer system • O thure maintenance problems can be assessed properly?  • Yes • Paper file system • O No (10 points)  3. O&M Manual  3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  • Yes • No • No 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs  4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. • Excellent • O Very good • Good • Fair • O Poor • Describe your rating:		
1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?  • Yes • No  If No, please explain:	11 No, picase explain.	
1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?  • Yes • No  If No, please explain:		1
● Yes  ○ Yes  ○ No  If No, please explain:  2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?  ○ Yes (Continue with question 2) □□  ○ No (40 points)□□  If No, please explain, then go to question 3:  2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?  ○ Yes  ○ No (10 points)  2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filled so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?  ● Yes  ● Paper file system  ○ Computer system  ○ Roth paper and computer system  ○ No (10 points)  3. O&M Manual  3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  ● Yes  ● No  4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs  4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.  ● Excellent  ○ Very good  ○ Good  ○ Fair  ○ Poor  Describe your rating:	Could use more help/staff for:	
If No, please explain:	fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?	
2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?  • Yes (Continue with question 2) □□  • No (40 points)□□  If No, please explain, then go to question 3:  2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?  • Yes  • No (10 points) 2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?  • Yes  • Paper file system  • Computer system  • Both paper and computer system  • No (10 points) 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  • Yes  • Yes  • No  4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs  4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.  • Excellent  • Very good  • Good  • Fair  • Poor  Describe your rating:	o No	
2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?  ● Yes (Continue with question 2) □□  O No (40 points)□□  If No, please explain, then go to question 3:  2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?  ● Yes  ● Yes  O No (10 points)  2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?  ● Yes  ● Paper file system  O Computer system  O Both paper and computer system  O No (10 points)  3. O&M Manual  3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  ● Yes  O No  4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs  4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.  ● Excellent  O Very good  O Good  O Fair  O Poor  Describe your rating:	If No, please explain:	1
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:  2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?  • Yes • No (10 points)  2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filled so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?  • Yes • Paper file system • Computer system • No (10 points)  3. O&M Manual  3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  • Yes • O No  4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs  4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. • Excellent • Very good • Good • Fair • Poor • Describe your rating:	<ul> <li>2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?</li> <li>Yes (Continue with question 2) □□</li> </ul>	
2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?  • Yes • No (10 points)  2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?  • Yes • Paper file system • Computer system • Both paper and computer system • No (10 points)  3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? • Yes • No  4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs  4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. • Excellent • Very good • Good • Fair • Poor • Describe your rating:		
and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?  • Yes  • No (10 points)  2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?  • Yes  • Paper file system  • Computer system  • Both paper and computer system  • No (10 points)  3. O&M Manual  3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  • Yes  • No  4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs  4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.  • Excellent  • Very good  • Good  • Fair  • Poor  Describe your rating:	If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?  • Yes  • Paper file system  • Computer system  • Both paper and computer system  • No (10 points)  3. O&M Manual  3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  • Yes  • No  4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs  4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.  • Excellent  • Very good  • Good  • Fair  • Poor  Describe your rating:	and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?  ● Yes	0
filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?  • Yes  • Paper file system  • Computer system  • Both paper and computer system  • No (10 points)  3. O&M Manual  3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  • Yes  • No  4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs  4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.  • Excellent  • Very good  • Good  • Fair  • Poor  Describe your rating:	o No (10 points)	
O Computer system O Both paper and computer system O No (10 points)  3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  • Yes O No  4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. • Excellent O Very good O Good O Fair O Poor Describe your rating:	filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?	
O Computer system O Both paper and computer system O No (10 points)  3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  • Yes O No  4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. • Excellent O Very good O Good O Fair O Poor Describe your rating:	Paper file system	
O Both paper and computer system O No (10 points)  3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  • Yes O No  4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. • Excellent O Very good O Good O Fair O Poor Describe your rating:		
3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  • Yes • No  4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs  4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.  • Excellent • Very good • Good • Fair • Poor Describe your rating:		
3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?  • Yes • No  4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.  • Excellent • Very good • Good • Fair • Poor Describe your rating:	O No (10 points)	
4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.  • Excellent  • Very good  • Good  • Fair  • Poor  Describe your rating:	as a reference when needed?	
<ul> <li>4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.</li> <li>Excellent</li> <li>Very good</li> <li>Good</li> <li>Fair</li> <li>Poor</li> <li>Describe your rating:</li> </ul>	o No	
O Good O Fair O Poor Describe your rating:	Excellent	
O Fair O Poor Describe your rating:		
O Poor Describe your rating:		
Describe your rating:		1
we are on top or preventative maintenance		
	we are on top or preventative maintenance	

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1 Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/15/2021 2020

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

**Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/15/2021

2020

0

### Operator Certification and Education

1. Operator-In-Charge		
<ul><li>1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-cha</li><li>Yes (0 points)</li></ul>	ge during the report year?	
o No (20 points)		
Name:		0
GARY W HANSON		
Certification No:		
01500		

2. Certification Requirements

2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?

Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP		OIC	
Class		Basic	OIT	Basic	Advanced
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	X			X
A2	Attached Growth Processes				X
А3	Recirculating Media Filters				
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural				X
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid				4
В	Solids Separation	X			X
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	X			X
Р	Total Phosphorus				X
N	Total Nitrogen				
D	Disinfection				X
L	Laboratory	X			X
U	Unique Treatment Systems				
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	X	NA	NA	NA

- 2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS is required 5 years after permit reissuance and is basic level only.)
- Yes (0 points)
- o No (20 points)
- 3. Succession Planning
- 3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?
- ☐ One or more additional certified operators on staff
- An arrangement with another certified operator
- ☐ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator
- An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year
- ☐ A consultant to serve as your certified operator
- ☐ None of the above (20 points)
- If "None of the above" is selected, please explain:
- 4. Continuing Education Credits

#### **Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/15/2021

2020

4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?

OIT and Basic Certification:

O Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.

O Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Advanced Certification:

Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.

O Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

**Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/15/2021

2020

## **Financial Management**

1. Provider of Financia	al Information	T
Name:	Michael McKinney	
Telephone:	262-878-2123 (XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address (optional):		
	or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater OR collection system ?	
2.2 When was the Use Year:  2020  0-2 years ago (0 po 0 3 or more years ago 0 N/A (private facility	o (20 points)□□	0
	pecial account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or allable for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment system?	
O No (40 points)	S [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]	-
3. Equipment Replacent 3.1 When was the Equiver:  2020  1-2 years ago (0 por 3 or more years ago N/A  If N/A, please explain	ment Funds uipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised?  pints)  (20 points)	
3.2 Equipment Replace		
3.2.2 Adjustments - if	necessary (e.g. earned interest, + \$ 3,704.82 rawal of excess funds, increase	
	y 1st Beginning Balance \$ 38,139.99	
3.2.4 Additions to Fun earned interest, etc.)	d (e.g. portion of User Fee, + \$ 0.00	

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1		Last Update 5/15/2021	ed: Reporting I	For
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*) 3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year  All Sources: This ending balance should include all	- \$	38,139	.00	
Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.				
3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/	or major repairs	from 3.2.5	above.	
3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was ori	\$	0.00		0
Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updating instructions and an example can be found by clicking the header in the left-side menu.  3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replace greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?  • Yes  • No  If No, please explain.	SectionInstructi	ons link unde	er Info	
<ul> <li>4. Future Planning</li> <li>4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formation or new construction of your treatment facility or collection so Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, io No</li> <li>Project Project Description</li> </ul>	ystem?	ted below.□		
#		Cost	Construction Year	
Plant upgrade due to new permit requirements.		6000000	2021	
2 Plant upgrade currently being bid		6500000	2021	
5. Financial Management General Comments				
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE				
<ul><li>6. Collection System</li><li>6.1 Energy Usage</li><li>6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different er</li></ul>	nergy sources:			
<b>COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consum</b>	ned			
Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations:	3			

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/15/2021 **2020** 

January   690   February   671   March   845   April   1,082   May   1,488   June   1,098   July   697   August   549   September   453   October   392   November   450   December   585   Total   9,000   0   Average   750   0   O   October   Comminution or Screening   Extended Shaft Pumps   Flow Metering and Recording   Pneumatic Pumping   SCADA System   Self-Priming Pumps   Submersible Pumps   Other:   Comments:   Comments:   Comments:   Comminution or Screening   Comminut	February       671         March       845         April       1,082         May       1,488         June       1,098         July       697         August       549         eptember       453         October       392         lovember       450         December       585         Total       9,000         Average       750			
March 845 April 1,082 May 1,488 June 1,098 July 697 August 549 September 453 October 392 November 450 December 585 Total 9,000 0 Average 750 0  6.1.2 Comments:	March       845         April       1,082         May       1,488         June       1,098         July       697         August       549         eptember       453         October       392         lovember       450         December       585         Total       9,000         Average       750			
April 1,082 May 1,488 June 1,098 July 697 August 549 September 453 October 392 November 450 December 585 Total 9,000 0 Average 750 0  6.1.2 Comments:  2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Preumatic Pumping ScADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  6.2.2 Comments:	April 1,082  May 1,488  June 1,098  July 697  August 549  eptember 453  October 392  lovember 450  December 585  Total 9,000  Average 750			
May 1,488 June 1,098 July 697 August 549 September 453 October 392 November 450 December 585 Total 9,000 0 Average 750 0  6.1.2 Comments:	May       1,488         June       1,098         July       697         August       549         eptember       453         October       392         lovember       450         December       585         Total       9,000         Average       750			
June 1,098  July 697  August 549  September 453 October 392  November 450 December 585  Total 9,000 0  Average 750 0  6.1.2 Comments:	June       1,098         July       697         August       549         eptember       453         October       392         lovember       450         December       585         Total       9,000         Average       750			
July 697 August 549 September 453 October 392 November 450 December 585 Total 9,000 0 Average 750 0  6.1.2 Comments:  2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:    Comments:   Comments:   Comments:   Comminution or Screening   Comminution or Scree	July       697         August       549         eptember       453         October       392         lovember       450         December       585         Total       9,000         Average       750			
August 549 September 453 October 392 November 450 December 585 Total 9,000 0 Average 750 0  6.1.2 Comments:  2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:    Comments:	August         549           eptember         453           October         392           lovember         450           December         585           Total         9,000           Average         750			
October 392  November 450  December 585  Total 9,000 0  Average 750 0  6.1.2 Comments:	eptember         453           October         392           lovember         450           December         585           Total         9,000           Average         750			
November 392 November 450 December 585 Total 9,000 0 Average 750 0  6.1.2 Comments:  2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping  SCADA System  Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  6.2.2 Comments:	October         392           lovember         450           Occember         585           Total         9,000           Average         750			
November 450  December 585  Total 9,000 0  Average 750 0  6.1.2 Comments:	lovember 450 December 585 Total 9,000 Average 750			
Total 9,000 0  Average 750 0  6.1.2 Comments:  .2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  6.2.2 Comments:	Total 9,000 Average 750			
Total 9,000 0  Average 750 0  6.1.2 Comments:  2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  5.2.2 Comments:	Total 9,000 Average 750			
Total 9,000 0  Average 750 0  6.1.2 Comments:  .2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Uvariable Speed Drives Other:    Comments:	Total 9,000 Average 750			
Average 750 0  6.1.2 Comments:  .2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  6.2.2 Comments:  3. Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No	Average 750			
6.1.2 Comments:  .2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment 6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that Comminution or Screening Extended Shaft Pumps Flow Metering and Recording Pneumatic Pumping SCADA System Self-Priming Pumps Submersible Pumps Variable Speed Drives Other:  6.2.2 Comments:  .3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations? No				
Other:  6.2.2 Comments:  .3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?  No O Yes	☐ Pneumatic Pumping ☑ SCADA System ☑ Self-Priming Pumps ☐ Submersible Pumps			
.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?  No O Yes				
.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?  No O Yes	2.2 Comments:			
No Yes	ZIZ COMMENCE.			
No Yes				
Yes		med for your pump/lift station	?	
By Whom:	By Whom:			

#### **Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/15/2021 **2020** 

64	Future	Energy	Related	Faui	nment
0.4	ruture	LITELAY	Relateu	Lyui	Dillelle

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

100	-	m	-
- 11	U	11	

- 7. Treatment Facility
- 7.1 Energy Usage
- 7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

#### **TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month**

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	12,287	3.57	3,442	3.97	3,095	116
February	11,568	2.25	5,141	2.49	4,646	110
March	11,087	2.65	4,184	2.17	5,109	47
April	9,041	1.94	4,660	1.74	5,196	40
May	9,792	2.51	3,901	1.64	5,971	7
June	9,919	2.77	3,581	3.15	3,149	7
July	10,443	2.07	5,045	2.23	4,683	27
August	9,862	2.06	4,787	2.05	4,811	3
September	9,098	2.13	4,271	2.49	3,654	9
October	8,576	1.78	4,818	2.20	3,898	29
November	9,119	2.36	3,864	3.15	2,895	56
December	9,675	2.03	4,766	2.60	3,721	122
Total	120,467	28.12		29.88		573
Average	10,039	2.34	4,372	2.49	4,236	48

7.1.2 Comments:

☑ Nitrification☑ SCADA System☐ UV Disinfection

☐ Other:

☐ Variable Speed Drives

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment
7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):  ☑ Aerobic Digestion
☐ Anaerobic Digestion
☐ Biological Phosphorus Removal
☑ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
☑ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
☐ Effluent Pumping
☐ Fine Bubble Diffusers
☐ Influent Pumping
☐ Mechanical Sludge Processing
Mechanical Sludge Processing

Describe and Comment:

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1** 5/15/2021 2020 7.2.2 Comments: 7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment 7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility? new plant will be energy efficient 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? No o Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ☐ Flared Off ☐ Building Heat ☐ Process Heat ☐ Generate Electricity ☐ Other: 9. Energy Efficiency Study 9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? No o Yes ☐ Entire facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment: ☐ Part of the facility Year: By Whom:

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	5/15/2021	2020

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/15/2021

2020

# **Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems**

1.1 Do you have a CMOM program	on, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program n that is being implemented?
• Yes • No	
If No, explain:	
- rey explain.	
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 2  • Yes	n that contains all the applicable components and items 210.23 (4)?
o No (30 points)	
o N/A	
If No or N/A, explain:	
components and items that apply)  Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]	ntain the following components and items? (check the
	d for your collection system last year:
no backups and install sampling	manholes
Did you accomplish them?  • Yes	
o No	
If No, explain:	
Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b	
Does this chapter of your CMOM	
☑ Internal and external lines of	positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
	orting overflow events to the department and the public
☐ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4)	
	nent that regulates the use of your sewer system?
sewer user ordiance	
	ce or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and 20-08-18
Does your sewer use ordinance on  ☑ Private property inflow and inf	r other legally binding document address the following: filtration
☑ New sewer and building sewer	r design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
Rehabilitated sewer and lift sta	ation installation, testing and inspection
Sewage flows satellite system necessary	and large private users are monitored and controlled, as
☐ Fat, oil and grease control	
☑ Enforcement procedures for se	ewer use non-compliance
Operation and Maintenance [NR	
Does your operation and maintena   ☑ Equipment and replacement p	ance program and equipment include the following: art inventories
☐ Up-to-date sewer system map	
ΠΔ management system (compl	uter database and/or file system) for collection system

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

		5/15/2021	2020
☐ Capacity assessment p ☐ Basement back assess ☑ Regular O&M training ☐ Design and Performance What standards and proce the sewer collection syster property?	program ment and correction Provisions [NR 210. dures are established m, including building		of
☐ Overflow Emergency Res Does your emergency resp ☐ Responsible personnel ☐ Response order, timing ☐ Public notification proto ☐ Training	ponse capability inclusionse capability inclusions processing and clean-up ocols	ude: cedures	0
<ul> <li>☑ Emergency operation p</li> <li>☐ Annual Self-Auditing of y</li> <li>☐ Special Studies Last Year</li> <li>☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I)</li> <li>☐ Sewer System Evaluati</li> <li>☐ Sewer Evaluation and (</li> <li>☐ Lift Station Evaluation</li> <li>☐ Others:</li> </ul>	our CMOM Program (check only those t Analysis on Survey (SSES) Capacity Managment	[NR 210.23 (5)]□□ hat apply):	
maintenance activities? Com	collection system mplete all that apply a	naintenance program include the following and indicate the amount maintained.  % of system/year	
Cleaning	3	% of system/year	
Root removal	9		
Flow monitoring	0	% of system/year	
Smoke testing	- U	% of system/year	
Sewer line televising	50	% of system/year	
Manhole inspections	0	% of system/year	
Lift station O&M	120	# per L.S./year	
Manhole	120	# pci 2.3./ ycdi	
rehabilitation	4	% of manholes rehabbed	
Mainline rehabilitation	10	% of sewer lines rehabbed	
Private sewer inspections  Private sewer I/I	0	% of system/year	
removal	0	% of private services	

Last Updated: Reporting For:

## Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1 Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/15/2021

2020

River or water % of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained crossings Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below: 3. Performance Indicators 3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year. 36.46 Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches 34.21 Annual average precipitation (for your location) Miles of sanitary sewer Number of lift stations Number of lift station failures 0 Number of sewer pipe failures Number of basement backup occurrences Number of complaints Average daily flow in MGD (if available) Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available) Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available) 3.2 Performance ratios for the past year: 0.00 Lift station failures (failures/year) 0.00 Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr) 0.00 Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr) 0.00 Basement backups (number/sewer mile) 0.00 Complaints (number/sewer mile) Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly: Annual Daily Avg) Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly: Annual Daily Avg) 4. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED \*\* Location Cause Estimated Date Volume None reported \*\* If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected. 5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I) 5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year? o Yes No If Yes, please describe: 5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year? o Yes No If Yes, please describe:

annual Tving of system and repairing of leaks as they are found

### **Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/15/2021 **2020** 

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

none

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

**Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/15/2021

2020

## **Grading Summary**

WPDES No: 0029831

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	A	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD				
TSS	Α	4	5	20
Ammonia	Α	4	5	20
Phosphorus	С	2	3	6
Biosolids	A	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4
OpCert	A	4	1	4
Financial	A	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS			27	102
GRADE POINT AVER	RAGE (GPA) = 3.78			

#### Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1** 5/15/2021 2020 **Resolution or Owner's Statement** Name of Governing Body or Owner: Date of Resolution or Action Taken: Resolution Number: Date of Submittal: ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F): Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = C Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A Staffing: Grade = A Operator Certification: Grade = A Financial Management: Grade = A Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported) ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL **GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS** (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00) G.P.A. = 3.78

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/26/2021 2020

### DNR Response to Resolution or Owner's Statement

Name of Governing Body or Owner:

Village of Yorkville Sewer Utiltiy

Date of Resolution or

Action Taken:

2021-05-25

**Resolution Number:** 

2021-01

Date of Submittal: 5/26/2021

#### ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):

Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A

**Permittee Response:** 

#### **DNR Response:**

The influent hydraulic loading for 2020 averaged 0.077 MGD (51.2% design capacity) with a maximum of 0.115 MGD (76.9% design capacity).

The influent organic loading for 2020 averaged 81.75 lbs/day (32.1% design capacity) with a maximum of 128 lbs/day (50.2% design capacity).

Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade =

**Permittee Response:** 

#### **DNR Response:**

The effluent BOD quality for 2020 was good averaging 7.5 mg/L (37.50% of the limit) with a maximum of 14 mg/L (70.00% of the limit) for the month of December.

Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A

**Permittee Response:** 

#### **DNR Response:**

The effluent TSS quality for 2020 was good averaging 8.25 mg/L (41.25% of the limit) with a maximum of 11 mg/L (55.00% of the limit) for the month of December.

Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A

**Permittee Response:** 

#### **DNR Response:**

The effluent ammonia quality for 2020 was excellent, averaging 0.86 mg/L.

Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade =

#### **Permittee Response:**

Discussed problem new plant has now been bid for construction

#### **DNR Response:**

The effluent phosphorus quality for 2020 averaged 0.58 mg/L (48.06% of the limit) with a maximum of 1.076 mg/L (7.60% over the limit) for the month of April. Yorkville is pursuing a facility upgrade.

Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade =

#### Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/26/2021 **2020** 

**Permittee Response:** 

**DNR Response:** 

Good biosolids quality.

Staffing: Grade = A
Permittee Response:

**DNR Response:** 

No concerns with staffing/O&M.

Operator Certification: Grade = A

**Permittee Response:** 

#### **DNR Response:**

Please keep the Department updated if there are certified operator staff changes in 2021 and let us know if there are any questions. Operator certification exams are currently available in Plover if there is a need. Forms are available on the DNR website.

The Wisconsin DNR is finalizing changes which will allow for exams to be completed remotely through the internet, or at regional testing centers if needed. It's anticipated that this option will be available later this year.

Financial Management: Grade = A

**Permittee Response:** 

#### **DNR Response:**

No comments.

Collection Systems: Grade = A

(Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)

**Permittee Response:** 

#### **DNR Response:**

Please continue with your collection system repairs and I/I reduction program.

# ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS

(Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)

G.P.A. = 3.84

**Permittee Response:** 

#### **DNR G.P.A. Response:**

#### **DNR CMAR Overall Response:**

Thank you for completing the 2020 CMAR for Yorkville. Based on the grading for 2020 and the requirements in ch. NR 208, Wis. Adm. Code, this report is accepted as final.

**DNR Reviewer:** Wedesky, Jacob **Phone:** (414) 263-8692

Address: 1027 W Saint Paul Ave, Milwaukee, WI 53212 Date: 8/24/2021