Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

0

Influent Flow and Loading

1. Monthly Average Flows and (C)BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and (C)BOD loadings to your facility.

| Outfall No. 701 | Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD | x | Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentration mg/L | x | 8.34 | = | Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Loading, lbs/day |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------|---|------|---|--------------------------------------------------------|
| January | 0.0614 | Х | 161 | Х | 8.34 | = | 82 |
| February | 0.0557 | Х | 157 | Х | 8.34 | = | 73 |
| March | 0.0802 | Х | 80 | Х | 8.34 | = | 53 |
| April | 0.0711 | Х | 66 | Х | 8.34 | = | 39 |
| May | 0.0687 | Х | 68 | Х | 8.34 | = | 39 |
| June | 0.0672 | х | 70 | х | 8.34 | = | 39 |
| July | 0.0592 | Х | 52 | х | 8.34 | = | 26 |
| August | 0.0584 | х | 190 | Х | 8.34 | = | 93 |
| September | 0.0598 | Х | 31 | Х | 8.34 | = | 16 |
| October | 0.0540 | Х | 33 | Х | 8.34 | = | 15 |
| November | 0.0498 | х | 130 | Х | 8.34 | = | 54 |
| December | 0.0526 | х | 161 | Х | 8.34 | = | 82 |

- 2. Maximum Month Design Flow and Design (C)BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

| Design | Design Factor | х | % | = | % of Design |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|-----|----|-------------|
| Max Month Design Flow, MGD | .15 | Х | 90 | 11 | 0.135 |
| 1. F. S. C. B. S. D. C. B. S. | 4-0 m m - 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | Х | 100 | = | .15 |
| Design (C)BOD, Ibs/day | 255 | х | 90 | = | 229.5 |
| | | Х | 100 | | 255 |

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and (C)BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

| | Months | Number of times | Number of times | Number of times | Number of times |
|---------------|----------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| | of | | flow was greater | | |
| | Influent | than 90% of | than 100% of | than 90% of design | than 100% of design |
| January | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| February | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| March | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| April | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| May | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| June | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| July | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| August | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| September | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| October | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| November | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| December | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Points per ea | ich | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Exceedances | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Points | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Numb | er of Po | oints | | | 0 |

Last Updated: Reporting For: Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1 6/8/2015 2014 3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) 10/15/2014 Yes O No If No, please explain: 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes O No If No, please explain: WE revised our ordinance in 2014 and now include discharge limits for BOD, SS, Phos., Ammonia Chlorides and zinc. 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? o Yes No If Yes, please explain: Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks Holding Tanks **Grease Traps** o Yes o Yes o Yes No No No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks o Yes gallons No Holding Tanks gallons o Yes No **Grease Traps** o Yes gallons No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? Yes O No If yes, describe the situation and your community's response. WE are having problems with excessive Chloride discharges into our system and are therefor

unable to many months meet our effluent limit for Chlorides

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.? o Yes

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

| Total Points Generated | 0 |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Score (100 - Total Points Generated) | 100 |
| Section Grade | Α |

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

0

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or **CBOD**

| Outfall No. 001 | Monthly Average Limit (mg/L) | 90% of Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L) | Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L) | Months of Discharge with a Limit | Permit Limit Exceedance | 90% Permit Limit Exceedance | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| January | 20 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| February | 20 | 18 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| March | 20 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| April | 20 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| May | 20 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| June | 20 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| July | 20 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| August | 20 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| September | 20 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| October | 20 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| November | 20 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| December | 20 | 18 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | * Eq | uals limit if limit is | <= 10 | | | |
| Months of d | ischarge/yr | | | 12 | | | |
| | ach exceedance | 7 | 3 | | | | |
| Exceedance | S | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Points | Points 0 | | | | | | |
| Total numl | otal number of points 0 | | | | | | |

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

| 2. F | low | Meter | Cali | bration |
|------|-----|-------|------|---------|
| | | | | |

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

10/15/2014

O No

If No, please explain:

3. Treatment Problems

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

Freezing weather caused problems with the operation of the clarifier.

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

Yes

o No

If Yes, please explain:

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

We exceeded our Chloride limits. We monitored the entire system looking for the source of the chlorides and we also monitored for excessive zinc discharges.

- 4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?
- o Yes
- No

If Yes, please explain:

- 4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?
- o Yes
- o No
- N/A

Please explain unless not applicable:

| Total Points Generated | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Score (100 - Total Points Generated) | 100 | | | | |
| Section Grade | Α | | | | |

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

| | | · | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Outfall No. 001 | Monthly Average Limit (mg/L) | 90% of Permit Limit >10 (mg/L) | Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L) | Months of Discharge with a Limit | Permit Limit Exceedance | 90% Permit Limit Exceedance |
| January | 20 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| February | 20 | 18 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| March | 20 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| April | 20 | 18 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| May | 20 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| June | 20 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| July | 20 | 18 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| August | 20 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| September | 20 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| October | 20 | 18 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| November | 20 | 18 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| December | 20 | 18 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | | * Eq | uals limit if limit is | s <= 10 | | |
| Months of D | ischarge/yr | | | | | |
| Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge: | | | | | | 3 |
| Exceedance | S | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Points | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Total Num | ber of Points | | | | | 0 |

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

No action required

| Total Points Generated | 0 |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Score (100 - Total Points Generated) | 100 |
| Section Grade | Α |

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated:

Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for NH3

| Outfall No. | Monthly | Weekly | Effluent | , | | Effluent | Effluent | Effluent | Weekly |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| 001 | Average | Average | Monthly | Permit | Weekly | Weekly | Weekly | Weekly | Permit |
| | NH3 | NH3 | Average | Limit | Average | Average | | Average | Limit |
| | Limit | Limit | NH3 | Exceed | | | 1900 | for Week | Exceed |
| | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | (mg/L) | ance | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ance |
| January | 12.4 | | 3.274444 | 144 0 | | | | | |
| February | 12.4 | | 5.9875 | 0 | | | | | |
| March | 12.4 | | 2.681111 | 111 0 | | | | | |
| April | 12.4 | | .4344444 | 44 0 | | | | | |
| May | | | | | | | | | |
| June | | | | | | | | | |
| July | | | | | | | | | |
| August | | | | | | | | | |
| September | | | | | | | | | |
| October | | | | | | | | | |
| November | 12.4 | | .6645 | 0 | | | | | |
| December | 12.4 | | .933 | 0 | | | | | |
| Points per e | ach excee | dance of I | Monthly av | /erage: | | | | | 10 |
| Exceedances, Monthly: | | | | | | | 0 | | |
| Points: | | | | | | | 0 | | |
| Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly averge): | | | | | | | 2.5 | | |
| Exceedances, Weekly: | | | | | | | 0 | | |
| Points: | | | | | | | 0 | | |
| Total Number of Points | | | | | | | | | 0 |

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for mothly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to detect exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to detect exceedances and gernate points.

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

no action required

| Total Points Generated | 0 |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Score (100 - Total Points Generated) | 100 |
| Section Grade | Α |

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

| Outfall No. 001 | Monthly Average phosphorus Limit (mg/L) | Effluent Monthly Average phosphorus (mg/L) | Months of Discharge with a Limit | Permit Limit Exceedance |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| January | 8.2 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| February | 8.2 | 4.75 | 1 | 0 |
| March | 8.2 | 4.04444444 | 1 | 0 |
| April | 8.2 | 3.4 | 1 | 0 |
| May | 8.2 | 5.4125 | 1 | 0 |
| June | 8.2 | 4.15555556 | 1 | 0 |
| July | 8.2 | 3.79 | 1 | 0 |
| August | 8.2 | 4.8875 | 1 | 0 |
| September | 8.2 | 4.724 | 1 | 0 |
| October | 8.2 | 6.17375 | 1 | 0 |
| November | 8.2 | 8.3625 | 1 | 1 |
| December | 8.2 | 5.104 | 1 | 0 |
| Months of Discharg | | | | |
| Points per each e | 10 | | | |
| Exceedances | 1 | | | |
| Total Number of | Points | | | 10 |

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

We reviewed the plant's operation a increased wasting to reduce the effluent phos. Sorbex is currently being added to improve effluent removal. Phos

| Total Points Generated | 10 |
|--------------------------------------|----|
| Score (100 - Total Points Generated) | 90 |
| Section Grade | В |

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015 2014

Biosolids Quality and Management

| 1. Biosolids Use/Disposal | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply) | |
| ☐ Land applied under your permit | |
| ☐ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids | |
| ☑ Hauled to another permitted facility | |
| ☐ Landfilled | |
| ☐ Incinerated | |
| □ Other | |
| NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such | |
| as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc. | |
| 1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe: | |
| | |
| | |

3. Biosolids Metals

Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit:

3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.

| Outfall No. 003 - Municipal sludge | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------------|-----------------|---------|
| Parameter | 80% of Limit | H.Q. Limit | Ceiling Limit | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | 80% Value | High Quality | Ceiling |
| Arsenic | | 41 | 75 | | <233 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Cadmium | | 39 | 85 | | <58.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Copper | | 1500 | 4300 | | <233 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Lead | | 300 | 840 | | <233 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Mercury | | 17 | 57 | | <.028 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Molybdenum | 60 | | 75 | | <233 | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |
| Nickel | 336 | | 420 | | <233 | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |
| Selenium | 80 | | 100 | | <233 | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |
| Zinc | | 2800 | 7500 | | <233 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1-2 (10 Points)
- 0 > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- o Yes
- O No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- o N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0

Exceedence Points

- (0 Points) • 0
- 0 1 (10 Points)
- 0 > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- o Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

| 0/6/2013 2014 | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified? | 0 |
| 6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site? ● >= 180 days (0 Points) ○ 150 - 179 days (10 Points) ○ 120 - 149 days (20 Points) ○ 90 - 119 days (30 Points) ○ < 90 days (40 Points) ○ N/A (0 Points) 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why. | 0 |
| 7. Issues 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management: We have no issues at this time all bio solids are hauled off-site | |

| Total Points Generated | 0 |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Score (100 - Total Points Generated) | 100 |
| Section Grade | Α |

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

| 1. Plant Staffing 1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year? ● Yes ○ No If No, please explain: Could use more help/staff for: 1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping? ● Yes ○ No If No, please explain: | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| 2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items? Yes (Continue with question 2) No (40 points) If No, please explain, then go to question 3: 2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? Yes No (10 points) 2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? Yes Paper file system Computer system Both paper and computer system No (10 points) | o |
| 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M Manual that can be used as a reference when needed? Yes No | |
| 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor Describe your rating: | |

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

WE depend on preventive maintenance and contracted services since the plant does not have full time staff.

There is always room for improvement.

| Total Points Generated | 0 |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Score (100 - Total Points Generated) | 100 |
| Section Grade | Α |

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

| Operator Certification and Education |
|--------------------------------------|
|--------------------------------------|

| 1. Operator-In-Charge 1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year? ● Yes (0 points) ○ No (20 points) Name GARY W HANSON Certification No: 01590 | 0 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| 2. Certification Requirements 2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.08 and 114.09, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what grade and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what grade and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge? Required: 2 - CJ; C - ACTIVATED SLUDGE; J - LABORATORY Held: 4 - ABCDEFGHIJ; 4 - A=PRIMARY SETTLING GRADE 4; B=TRICKLING FILTER/RBC GRADE 4; C=ACTIVATED SLUDGE GRADE 4; D=PONDS/AEREATED LAGOONS GRADE 4; E=DISINFECTION | o |
| GRADE 4; F=ANAEROBIC DIGESTION GRADE 4; G=MECHANICAL SLUDGE GRADE 4; H=FILTRATION GRADE 4; I=PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL GRADE 4; J=LABORATORY GRADE 4 2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level to operate this plant? • Yes (0 points) • No (20 points) | |
| 3. Succession Planning 3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)? | |
| □ One or more additional certified operators on staff ☑ An arrangement with another certified operator □ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator □ An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year □ A consultant to serve as your certified operator □ None of the above (20 points) If "None of the above" is selected, please explain: | o |
| 4. Continuing Education Credits 4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates? Grades T, 1, and 2: Averaging 6 or more CECs per year. Averaging less than 6 CECs per year. Grades 3 and 4: Averaging 8 or more CECs per year. Averaging less than 8 CECs per year. | |

| Total Points Generated | 0 |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Score (100 - Total Points Generated) | 100 |
| Section Grade | Α |

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1 Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/8/2015 2014

| | | 12 | n | ci | al | M | 2 | na | ~ | _ | m | 0 | n | + |
|---|---|----|---|----|----|---|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| г | П | ıa | n | CI | aі | V | a | IIa | ч | C | ш | C | ш | ι |

| 1. Provider of Financial Information | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| Name: Micheal McKinney | | |
| Telephone: 2628782123 | (XXX) XXX-XXXX | |
| E-Mail Address (optional): | | |
| 2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cove treatment plant AND/OR collection system? Yes (0 points) No (40 points) If No, please explain: 2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue Year: 2014 0-2 years ago (0 points) 3 or more years ago (20 points) N/A (private facility) | | |
| 2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required financial resources available for repairing or replacing equal plant and/or collection system? Yes (0 points) | segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or ipment for your wastewater treatment | |
| No (40 points) REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILI | TIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 31 | _ |
| 3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last rev Year: • 1-2 years ago (0 points) | | |
| o 3 or more years ago (20 points) | | |
| • N/A | | |
| If N/A, please explain: | | |
| We have no debt. We continue to build our reserves. | | |
| 3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity | - + | |
| 3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAI 3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.) | \$ 11,681.00 \$ 0.00 | |
| 3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance | \$ 11,681.00 | |
| 3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.) | + \$ 3,258.00 | |
| 3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*) | - \$ 0.00 | |
| 3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year | \$ 14,939.00 | |

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

no equipment purchases for 2014

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund?

14,939.00

Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the HELP link under Info in the left-side menu.

3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?

- Yes
- o No

If No, please explain.

We are continuously adding and withdrawing funds from the replacement fund

- 4. Future Planning
- 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?
- Yes If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below.
- o No

| Projec # | Project Description | | Approximate Construction |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| | | | Year |
| 1 | Plant upgrade due to new permit requirments | 5000000 | 2019 |

5. Financial Management General Comments

We are continuously adding and withdrawing funds from the replacement fund and reviewing the financial status of the Utility

| Total Points Generated | 0 |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Score (100 - Total Points Generated) | 100 |
| Section Grade | Α |

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

| 1. CMOM Program | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| 1.1 Do you have a Capacity, Management, Operation & Maintenance (CMOM) requirement in your | |
| WPDES permit? | |
| o Yes | |
| ● No | |
| 1.2 Did you have a documented (written records/files, computer files, video tapes, etc.) sanitary sewer collection system operation & maintenance (O&M) or CMOM program last calendar year? ◆ Yes (Continue with question 1) | |
| No (30 points) (Go to question 2) | |
| 1.3 Check the elements listed below that are included in your O&M or CMOM program.☒ Goals | |
| Describe the specific goals you have for your collection system: | |
| reduce I&I as much as possible within an approved budget. Operate a collection system without any backups. | |
| ☐ Organization | |
| Do you have the following written organizational elements (check only those that apply)? ☑ Ownership and governing body description ☐ Organizational chart | |
| ☐ Personnel and position descriptions | |
| ☐ Internal communication procedures | |
| ☐ Public information and education program | |
| ☐ Legal Authority | |
| Do you have the legal authority for the following (check only those that apply)? Sewer use ordinance Last Revised Date (MM/DD/YYYY) | |
| ☑ Pretreatment/industrial control Programs ☑ Fat, oil and grease control ☑ Illicit discharges (commercial, industrial) ☑ Private property clear water (sump pumps, roof or foundation drains, etc.) ☐ Private lateral inspections/repairs | |
| ☐ Service and management agreements | |
| ☐ Maintenance Activities (provide details in question 2) | ĺ |
| ☐ Design and Performance Provisions | |
| How do you ensure that your sewer system is designed and constructed properly? ☑ State plumbing code | |
| ☑ DNR NR 110 standards | ĺ |
| ☑ Local municipal code requirements | |
| ☑ Construction, inspection, and testing | |
| ☐ Others: | |
| | |
| | |
| ☐ Overflow Emergency Response Plan: | ĺ |
| Does your emergency response capability include (check only those that apply)? Alarm system and routine testing | |
| ☐ Emergency equipment | |
| ☐ Emergency procedures | |
| ☐ Communications/notifications (DNR, internal, public, media, etc.) | |
| ☐ Capacity Assurance: | |
| How well do you know your sewer system? Do you have the following? ☑ Current and up-to-date sewer map | |

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1** 6/8/2015 2014 Manhole location map ☑ Lift station pump and wet well capacity information □ Lift station O&M manuals Within your sewer system have you identified the following? ☐ Areas with flat sewers ☐ Areas with surcharging □ Areas with bottlenecks or constrictions ☐ Areas with chronic basement backups or SSOs ☐ Areas with excess debris, solids, or grease accumulation ☐ Areas with heavy root growth ☐ Areas with excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I) ☐ Sewers with severe defects that affect flow capacity 0 Adequacy of capacity for new connections ☐ Lift station capacity and/or pumping problems □Annual Self-Auditing of your O&M/CMOM Program to ensure above components are being implemented, evaluated, and re-prioritized as needed ☐ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply): ☑ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis ☐ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) ☐ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP) ☐ Lift Station Evaluation Report ☑ Others: Annual sampling and testing of all of our industrial and commercial users for BOD. SS, Ammonia, Phos., Zinc, and Chlorides 2. Operation and Maintenance 2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained. % of system/year Cleaning 33 00 % of system/year Root removal 1 % of system/year Flow monitoring % of system/year Smoke testing Sewer line 33 % of system/year televising Manhole % of system/year inspections 104 # per L.S./year Lift station O&M Manhole 15 % of manholes rehabbed rehabilitation Mainline % of sewer lines rehabbed 0 rehabilitation Private sewer % of system/year inspections Private sewer I/I o % of private services removal Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below:

none observed

Last Updated: Reporting For: Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1 6/8/2015 2014 3. Performance Indicators 3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year. 32.58 Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches 33.71 Annual average precipitation (for your location) Miles of sanitary sewer Number of lift stations 0 Number of lift station failures 0 Number of sewer pipe failures 0 Number of basement backup occurrences Number of complaints .07 Average daily flow in MGD (if available) Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available) Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available) 3.2 Performance ratios for the past year: Lift station failures (failures/year) Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr) Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr) Basement backups (number/sewer mile) Complaints (number/sewer mile) Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly: Annual Daily Avg) Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly: Annual Daily Avg) 4. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OFERFLOWS REPORTED ** Estimated Location Cause Date Volume (MG) None reported ** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected. 5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I) 5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year? o Yes No If Yes, please describe: 5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year? o Yes No If Yes, please describe: 5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

Continue to TV collect system yearly and make repairs to all leaks as soon as they are found. rebuild 10 manholes per year.

| Total Points Generated | 0 |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Score (100 - Total Points Generated) | 100 |
| Section Grade | Α |

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0029831

| SECTIONS | LETTER GRADE | GRADE POINTS | WEIGHTING FACTORS | SECTION POINTS | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Influent | Α | 4 | 3 | 12 | | | | |
| BOD/CBOD | Α | 4 | 10 | 40 | | | | |
| TSS | Α | 4 | 5 | 20 | | | | |
| Ammonia | Α | 4 | 5 | 20 | | | | |
| Phosphorus | В | 3 | 3 | 9 | | | | |
| Biosolids | Α | 4 | 5 | 20 | | | | |
| Staffing/PM | Α | 4 | 1 | 4 | | | | |
| OpCert | Α | 4 | 1 | 4 | | | | |
| Financial | Α | 4 | 1 | 4 | | | | |
| Collection | Α | 4 | 3 | 12 | | | | |
| TOTALS | | 37 | 145 | | | | | |
| GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.92 | | | | | | | | |

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/8/2015

2014

Resolution or Owner's Statement

Name of Governing **Body or Owner:**

Yorkville Sewer Utility District No 1

Date of Resolution or

Action Taken:

06/16/2016

Resolution Number:

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F. Regardless of grade, required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported):

Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A

Discussed and approved

Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A

Discussed and approved

Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A

Discussed and approved

Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade =

Discussed and approved

Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade =

Discussed and approved

Staffing: Grade = A

Discussed and approved

Operator Certification: Grade =

Discussed and approved

Financial Management: Grade =

Discussed and approved

Collection Systems: Grade = A

Discussed and approved

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)

G.P.A. = 3.92

Discussed and approved